

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-20 are pending. Please amend claims 1-20. No claims are added, canceled, or withdrawn. Accordingly, claims 1-20 remain pending. Withdrawal of the outstanding rejections to the pending claims is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

#### Claim Amendments

Independent **claims 1, 6, 11 and 16** have been amended to change "one or more installation points" to "multiple installation points". These amendments do not present any need for a new search since more than one installation point ("one or more installation points") necessarily includes one or multiple installation points. Additionally, the preambles of **claims 2-10, 12-15 and 17-20** have been amended to place the claimed subject matter into condition for allowance. More particularly, the preambles of dependent claims 2-5 have been amended to more clearly show their dependency on their respective base claim 1. For example, the preamble of claim 2 has been changed from "A method as recite in claim 1" to "The method of claim 1". The preamble of independent claim 6 has been amended to more particularly show that statutory nature of the claimed subject matter. For example, "A computer-readable medium" of claim 6 has been amended to "A **tangible** computer-readable **data storage** medium" (emphasis added). The preambles of dependent claims 7-10, 12-15 and 17-20 have also been amended to more clearly show their dependency on their respective base claim. For example, the preamble of claim 12 has been changed from "A computer-readable medium as recite in claim 11" to "The computer-readable medium of claim 11".

### **35 USC §102(e) Rejections**

Claims 1-20 stand rejected under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by US patent application serial number 6,836,786 to Zoller et al. ("Zoller"). However, the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (M.P.E.P.) states that a claim is anticipated by a reference **only** if each and every element as set forth in the claim can be found in the reference and, furthermore, that the **identical** invention **must** be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the claim.

A claim is anticipated **only** if each and every element set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference. ... The **identical** invention **must** be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim.

(M.P.E.P. § 2131, subsection titled "TO ANTICIPATE A CLAIM, THE REFERENCE MUST TEACH EVERY ELEMENT OF THE CLAIM", emphasis added). Each of the independent claims 1, 6, 11 and 16 include at least one feature not described by *Zoller*. For at least this reason, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 of the independent claims 1, 6, 11 and 16 should be withdrawn. Examples of claim features not found in *Zoller* are given below.

**Independent claim 1** recites in part:

- "generating, by a client computing device, one or more shortcuts to one or more respective applications, each of the applications being installed at one or more installation points in an Intranet, the client computing device being external to the Intranet", and
- "wherein the one or more shortcuts are aggregated to present a merged view of the applications, the merged view being transparent of whether the applications are managed by different information sources on the Intranet and/or configured

for remote TS-based execution by different ones of multiple installation points."

The Office action ("Action") cites *Zoller* at column 1, lines 44-61 as describing these claimed features. Although this assertion is prima facie false since no "merged view" is described, independent claim 1 has been amended to make clear that the "merged view" is "transparent of whether the applications are **managed by different information sources** on the Intranet and/or configured for remote TS-based execution by **different ones of multiple installation points**" (emphasis added, Specification, paragraph [0014]).

Rather than describing these claimed features, *Zoller* describes "storing information required for a single terminal server connection in a URL address" (column 7 lines 48-52, please also see column 1, lines 44-61). *Zoller* even shows a webpage (Fig. 5) illustrating applications that are available to a user via a single specified terminal server (the user requests "the desired terminal server connection", column 6, lines 17-20). Although such a webpage presentation can be construed as a "view of remotely installed applications to which a user has terminal server (TS) based access" (the preamble of claim 1), *Zoller* can not be said, at least for the purposes of applying the reference to independent claim 1, to disclose or teach such "a merged view of the applications [...] transparent of whether the applications are managed by different information sources on the Intranet and/or configured for remote TS-based execution by different ones of multiple installation points", as amended claim 1 requires. Therefore, for at least this reason, *Zoller* fails to disclose each and every element of independent claim 1 in as complete detail as required by the claim.

Independent claim 1 is patentable for at least the reasons presented above. Additionally, **independent claims 6, 11 and 16** each include salient features similar to those of independent claim 1, and are therefore patentable for the same or similar reasons. Furthermore, **dependent claims 2-5, 7-10, 12-15 and 17-20** depend from respective ones of amended independent claims 1, 6, 11 and 16, and are therefore patentable at least for reasons based on their respective dependencies.

Withdrawal of the 35 USC §102(e) rejection of claims 1-20 is respectfully requested.

### **Conclusion**

Applicant trusts that the application can now proceed to grant. However, if there are points which the Examiner wishes to raise, which could be dealt with over the telephone, we should be grateful if the Examiner would contact the undersigned. If the Examiner contemplates refusing this application, we would appreciate the opportunity of an informal interview either by telephone or in person before hand.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: January 25, 2007

By: /Brian G. Hart Reg. No. 44,421/  
Brian G. Hart  
Reg. No. 44,421  
(509) 324-9256